1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3 4	Table of Contents1
5 6	Table of Motions3
7 8	<u>Call to Order4</u>
9	Adoption of Agenda5
10 11	District Advisory Panel Individual Meeting Recommendations5
12 13	Puerto Rico5
14 15	Review Goals and Objectives for the IBFMPs18
16	DAP Individual Meeting Recommendations (cont.)
17 18	St. Thomas/St. John
19 20	Discussion of ABC Control Rule41
21 22	Adjournment
23	Ad Journment40
24 25	<del>-</del>

1	TABLE OF MOTIONS
2	
3	PAGE 45: Motion to request the SSC form a committee to draft
4	ABC control rule alternatives. The motion carried on page 45.
5	
5	PAGE 45: Motion to request that the CFMC staff crate a draft
7	goals and objectives document. The motion carried on page 46.
3	

1	CARIBBEAN FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
2	155 <sup>TH</sup> REGULAR COUNCIL/DAP MEETING
3	Verdanza Hotel
4	San Juan, Puerto Rico
5	·
6	March 17, 2016
7	March 17, 2010
8	The Caribbean Bisham Management Council servered inintly with
	The Caribbean Fishery Management Council convened jointly with
9	the District Advisory Panels at the Verdanza Hotel, San Juan,
10	Puerto Rico, Thursday morning, March 17, 2016, and was called to
11	order at 9:00 o'clock a.m. by Chairman Carlos Farchette.
12	
13	CALL TO ORDER
14	
15	CARLOS FARCHETTE: Good morning. We're going to get started
16	here. We're going to do a roll call. I am going to start on my
17	left with Blanchard. Before you go, this is the 155 <sup>th</sup> Caribbean
18	Fishery Management Council meeting, being held at the Verdanza
19	Hotel, San Juan, Puerto Rico. It's March 17, 2016.
20	nocci, ban dan, racico Rico. Te b March 17, 2010.
21	TONY BLANCHARD: Tony Blanchard, St. Thomas, council.
22	TONI BLANCIARD. TOTTY Branchard, Sc. Inomas, Council.
	NADGOG HANKE. Mangag Harles Wigg Chaire Dugette Digg ghouten
23	MARCOS HANKE: Marcos Hanke, Vice Chair, Puerto Rico, charter
24	operator.
25	
26	CARLOS FARCHETTE: Carlos Farchette, council chair.
27	
28	MIGUEL ROLON: Miguel Rolon, council staff.
29	
30	IRIS LOWERY: Iris Lowery, NOAA GC, Southeast Section.
31	
32	BILL ARNOLD: Bill Arnold, NOAA Fisheries.
33	
34	CARLOS VELASQUEZ: Carlos Velasquez, council member, commercial
35	sector.
36	20001.
37	LIVIA MONTALVO: Livia Montalvo, council staff.
38	Divid Homel Bear.
39	KATE QUIGLEY: Kate Quigley, council staff.
40	RATE QUIGIEI: Rate Quigiey, Council Stail.
41	GREG ENGSTROM: Greg Engstrom, commercial fisherman, Rincon,
42	Puerto Rico.
43	CIPUL POCEDIO. C
44	GARY ROGERS: Gary Rogers, DAP, Puerto Rico.
45	
46	ROBERTO SILVA: Roberto Silva, DAP, Puerto Rico.
47	
48	GERSON MARTINEZ: Gerson Martinez, DAP, St. Croix.

CARMEN RIVERA: Carmen Rivera, DAP, Puerto Rico.

MIKE FULLER: Mike Fuller, DAP, St. Croix.

PATRICIA SKOV: Patricia Skov, DAP, St. Croix.

8 LEDA DUNMIRE: Leda Dunmire, Pew Charitable Trust.

10 YASMIN VELEZ: Yasmin Velez, Pew Charitable Trust, DAP, Puerto 11 Rico.

**JESSE RIVERA:** Jesse Rivera, DAP member, fisherman, Puerto Rico,

14 east coast.

16 ROBERTO REYES: Roberto Reyes, Puerto Rico, DAP.

18 TOM DALEY: Tom Daley, DAP panel, St. Croix.

**CEDRIC TAQUIN:** Cedric Taquin, chef and fisherman in Arecibo, 21 DAP member.

GREGORY LEDEE: Gregory Ledee, commercial fisherman, DAP member.

**LANCE MAANUM:** Lance Maanum, DAP, St. Thomas, business owner and recreational fisherman.

**HECTOR BENITEZ:** Hector Benitez, DAP, Puerto Rico, commercial.

30 ISRAEL UMPIERRE: Israel Umpierre.

**HELENA ANTOUN:** Helena Antoun, contractor.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Thank you. First on the agenda, we have the District Advisory Panel Individual Meeting Recommendations to the Council. Miguel.

MIGUEL ROLON: We will have this joint meeting and the DAP Chair of Puerto Rico is here, because they finished yesterday analyzing with the SSC the species groupings that will be recommended to the council at the June meeting.

Also, the Puerto Rico component met yesterday evening and you have certain recommendations that you want to give this council at this time. Then we will follow with a discussion of the goals and objectives of the island-based FMPs. Here, we do not pretend for you to select all the goals and objectives at the same time, but just we want to discuss with the council members what this means and where we go from here.

Then we need to provide guidance to the staff as to the ABC control rule. Yesterday, you saw, during the SSC meeting, the presentation by Dr. Clay Porch. If you remember the slide that had like a roadmap of this is where we are and we will follow with a small committee for the ABC control rule and all of that, but we are going to discuss that too, because the goals and objectives of the fishery and the fishermen, and all of that will be integrated.

 In Other Business, if you have something to say in Other Business now, you can address that to the Chair, for the Adoption of the Agenda. Mr. Chairman, if you open the floor for any other business.

#### ADOPTION OF AGENDA

ISRAEL UMPIERRE: I have other business. I just want to set the record straight on the DAP members. I was the Chairman and a DAP member and I was removed from the position.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Point taken. We will pick that up under the Administrative Committee meeting for the June council meeting. Any other business? Hearing none --

## DISTRICT ADVISORY PANEL INDIVIDUAL MEETING RECOMMENDATIONS PUERTO RICO

MIGUEL ROLON: At this time, we want to hear from you regarding the recommendations from the Puerto Rico DAP. Also, if you need any assistance from the members of your group that want to expand on any of the points, you can ask them to do so.

NELSON CRESPO: Good morning, everyone. When the meeting from yesterday finished, we met here in this room, all the DAP panel from Puerto Rico. That is what is coming up of our recommendations.

In order to effectively provide feedback of the main issues to be discussed during the meetings, we need to receive meeting materials at least one week in advance. This way, we will be able to carefully review documents and provide good input and recommendations as necessary.

 The transition to island-based fishery management plans takes into consideration the socioeconomic, cultural, and ecological differences among Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

However, there are differences between regions in Puerto Rico. For example, we may have different names for species in the east and the west coast of Puerto Rico or even catch different species. Sometimes species that are in one area have no commercial value and in others have a lot of commercial value. That's on the east coast in Puerto Rico and an example that Marcos and Carlos Velasquez said yesterday. That needs to be taken into consideration when developing the fishery management plans.

The most recent data we have on fisheries is very poor data. We recommend that the council use data from 2010 and back twenty years to determine ACLs. Fisheries data in Puerto Rico, from the last few years, is very contaminated. We recommend establishing, as soon as the council can, and we know that you are getting to that, to move to establish an electronic data reporting system and allowing for two to four years of buffer, in order to develop a good management plan. If some of the guys want to add something, now is the moment.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Carlos Velasquez.

CARLOS VELASQUEZ: Very quickly, remember one thing, guys. The whole coast in Puerto Rico, west coast, east coast, north coast, and south coast, are all different fisheries with all different types of fishing and different prices of fish and different class of fishing, but I remember -- This is a good point for Nelson. Remember for the focus of different fisheries and types of fishing, all fishing. Thank you.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Blanchard.

TONY BLANCHARD: Nelson makes a very good point, but I have a question as to the Puerto Rican panel. You have guys that represent the east and the west coast of Puerto Rico, correct, on the panel. You've got guys that represent the north and the south coast as well? You have a wide spectrum that covers the whole island? Okay.

The point I'm trying to make here is that Nelson is right. If you go to St. Thomas, there is a certain set of people that will refer to a fish by one name. You go to the other set of guys and they refer to it with a completely different name, and so maybe we need to have the different names associated with that fish to make sure that these guys are all on the same page.

Like Marcos was saying, maybe on one side of the island the fish does not have any value, but on the other side it does, and so

this is the point I'm going to bring to Puerto Rico, the Puerto Rican panel. When you have those kind of issues, you need to make sure that it's clear to the council, so we know exactly what we're looking at and not just part of the overall picture.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Roberto Silva.

ROBERTO SILVA: The quantity of the species is too much. Maybe, for example, the groupers -- Many of them, I don't know what kind of grouper it is, but many of them don't have a good commercial value. We need to begin with less species and we need two or three years to improve that model when we've got a good electronic data or another system, because that is the historical problem in Puerto Rico. That's the best scientific data and it's the worst.

We can hand in year-by-year and ten years and twenty years, but we need to start from the beginning. I don't know -- I am still around the Caribbean Fishery Management for a couple of years and we -- I feel that we are in the same circle and we don't jump ahead. We can't jump ahead until we fix the data and we make a model or something like that. I know we need to improve something, but, right now, we have to call and give the right name to that situation. Thank you.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Tom Daley.

**TOM DALEY:** I am concerned about the species that we have just visited or grouped. Some of those species are already under some sort of regulations, and so my concern is what's going to be done if what we are not doing is not double-jeopardy.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Before you go any further with that, we have to wait until they bring the information from upstairs here, so we can discuss what you're discussing now.

TOM DALEY: Yes, but I'm just letting you know that there's a concern. There's a concern. I wouldn't expect that it --

MIGUEL ROLON: It's just that what we are addressing is only the Puerto Rico DAP at this time, because we need to allow the St. Croix and the St. Thomas Chairs to finish what they're doing upstairs. They will be down here. At that time, we will be able to open further discussion of those two.

**TOM DALEY:** Okay, and there's another area of concern. St. Croix, unlike Puerto Rico or maybe St. Thomas --

 CARLOS FARCHETTE: Daley, we ain't doing St. Croix now. We are doing Puerto Rico right now.

TOM DALEY: Yes, but I'm talking about the closed areas.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Only Puerto Rico. You have to talk about Puerto Rico and not St. Croix. Roberto Reyes.

ROBERTO REYES: I have two questions and two concerns. The first one is with hogfish, and we call it capitán in Puerto Rico. They were closed this past season for the commercial fishermen and what I heard was that it was because of the puddingwife and the Spanish hogfish are together with them, with the capitán, with the hogfish.

I would like to see it separated, to see the information comes from one single species to know the amount of pounds that they got from that species, because you can ask any of the Puerto Rican fishermen here, but normally the puddingwife and the Spanish hogfish is not a species that's hunted and, commercially, it's got no value at all.

Normally, maybe for the aquarium -- We will have to ask if the aquarium uses them, but it would be like small fish. That's my question. I have another one, if you don't mind, when you finish with this one.

**CARLOS FARCHETTE:** Hanke.

MARCOS HANKE: I have a question for you, Roberto, and this is also addressing the same issue with the hogfish. The way I see it, I think the hogfish, the ones you fish with traps, for example, is a different than when you fish with a spear gun, just as an example.

 You can be very precise in what you catch, and probably the target species for you, in terms of hogfish, are the hogfish, the capitán, the one that grows very big. I think the DAP and the council should explore the idea of managing maybe differently, putting the ACLs in maybe differently from the recreational side and the commercial side, for those reasons. The recreational, especially with the harpoon, they can be very selective. There is no bycatch on that in terms of --

**ROBERTO REYES:** Yes, but it's not closed for the recreational. 46 There is no closure for the recreational.

MARCOS HANKE: I know, but once we establish now the hogfish --

ROBERTO REYES: I'm just fighting for the commercial here.

MARCOS HANKE: I know, but once we go -- Now that we have to redo, we should consider that. I want to hear your opinion about that.

ROBERTO REYES: I agree with that. Actually, that's my next question.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Go ahead.

ROBERTO REYES: My next question is that all these laws are going to be implemented to both sides, the recreational and the commercial? It's the same? Is it going to be like that?

CARLOS FARCHETTE: That's a good question.

ROBERTO REYES: The question is if that all of these laws in the EEZ are going to be the same for the commercial and the recreational.

 MIGUEL ROLON: No, it all depends on the species that we'll be talking about, and that will be further along the line. We will develop the fishery management plans and each sector, recreational and commercial, will be addressed accordingly. As we discussed outside here, some of the species may be allocated to recreational fishing more than commercial fishing, or vice versa.

There are many of the species that are not as important for the commercial fishery as they are for the recreational, as we all know, and so that will be discussed further.

Mr. Chairman, some of you asked me whether the DAPs will have an opportunity to discuss this, and yes, you will have an opportunity along the way to discuss each one of these topics. For example, when we get the final -- Not the final, but the final draft list of species that the council is supposed to be addressing, we will have a meeting also. Not a meeting like this, but a separate meeting for each DAP to address the list of species, to address the other actions that we have to take in each FMP.

ROBERTO REYES: My other comment is about also Puerto Rico, one of the species. The parrotfish, commercially, there is not too much interest on it, but, recreationally, especially for the charters, especially for the ones that I do that are

spearfishing, there is no bycatch and we need at least that you give us the opportunity for one per boat, even one per boat per week will do, because we have clients that want them, at least trying to get them and not necessarily -- They don't want many of them, but they would like to get at least one.

I would have liked it to be the bigger ones, but I tried that already and it turned on me, and so I just would like for any of the species and not one from each species, but for any of the species, at least to have the opportunity to take clients to be able to go after one of them and not to be a complete closure for the recreational.

MARCOS HANKE: I think you clarified it, but I want to make sure. Your request includes the three species of parrotfish and the spotlight and the other ones?

ROBERTO REYES: I don't want to split them, because I already tried on the federal for only the three big ones, and it turned on me and those are especially the -- The ones that I wanted are the ones that got closed. The problem is that I split them on a meeting like this and my mother was sick and I had to go midday and what I was trying to do was to be able to take at least from those species, because the three world records in spearfishing have been -- The last three have been from Puerto Rico.

The two last world records were from midnight parrotfish. Spearfishing world records have been from Puerto Rico, and so I have evidence that it's not that there is a lack of them. If you go to the books, you might not be selling them, because they don't fit in the traps and stuff like that, but then I left. When I split them, you guys took away from me what I only wanted, because there are less of them and there are so many of the smaller ones and I wanted to prohibit all the other ones and then what you prohibited was the ones that I wanted.

Now, if we are going to do something, I am not even splitting them. I am not even moving for you to -- I just want the opportunity to be able to take clients to have the chance to go after one fish as spearfishing. There is not going to be any bycatch spearfishing.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: I believe that -- I might be wrong, but there is a bag limit for parrotfish in the EEZ for recreational harvest, but not the three species that are prohibited, but the other species. I think there is a bag limit on recreational. Bill.

 BILL ARNOLD: We manage ten species of parrotfish. Three of them, midnight, blue, and rainbow, are prohibited harvest. The other seven, they are accessible by both the commercial and the recreational fisheries. They do have limits, but they are --You are allowed to catch them.

ROBERTO REYES: They were talking about prohibiting it completely. No? If that's the case --

MIGUEL ROLON: Let's clarify this. Some of the species that are prohibited are not prohibited because of socioeconomic reasons. They are prohibited because of biological reasons, and some of them you cannot allow them to fish for. You may call it a record, but other people would call it killing the mother of the big blue parrotfishes.

All of this -- The point is that we don't need to continue discussing all these topics at this time, but I thank Roberto for bringing it up, because at the next DAP meetings those issues will be discussed, but I don't want anybody leaving here thinking that if you have a prohibited species that -- That the rationale for not allowing to fish for those species is very strong, from the point of view of biology, that you will be allowed a bag limit to kill one. It's like the Japanese having an allotment to kill the whales when they are not supposed to kill anything.

The point is that it's in the open and we have to include this in the record. For the next meetings during 2016, all of this will have to be re-addressed and reaffirmed, but I don't want anybody to leave here without those questions being answered by us in terms of the process that we are going to follow.

 ROBERTO REYES: Can I make just a quick comment? The idea that I had of being allowed to get the big one and not the small ones is because if you want the grazers, if you want the most of them to be in contact with the corals, it's the other species which is much more than these three species. Actually, what I wanted was two of them, two of the bigger ones, the rainbow and the midnight. That's it.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Blanchard and then Velasquez.

 TONY BLANCHARD: Let me ask this question to the council, because I need some verification from Miguel. Does it have any regulations on these three parrotfish in state waters? Really, Roberto, the only place we're talking about is the EEZ waters.

48 You understand that, right?

ROBERTO REYES: Yes, I know.

TONY BLANCHARD: Okay, and so that's the point I'm trying to bring across. The other part of it, where he was talking about the hogfish, the Spanish hogfish, repeat the question that was asked earlier, because I forgot what you asked.

ROBERTO REYES: The question was that they closed -- For the commercial, they closed the fishery for all hogfish. When I asked about how many they got from what we call capitán, the big one, they told me that probably it was because -- They closed the species because of the puddingwife, which is a -- Nobody gets them here and the Spanish hogfish, which is like half blue and half yellow.

They closed the fisheries to you guys because of supposedly there is too many of the smaller species being taken and then sold. What I was asking is they should split the big hogfish and the other and put them in a different category, so that we know exactly what's going on with how many pounds you get of each species. That was the concern.

**TONY BLANCHARD:** Okay, and so let me pose this question to Marcos, since you represent Puerto Rico. Would that be workable?

MARCOS HANKE: Could you ask that again?

**TONY BLANCHARD:** Would separating the two different species of hogfish, two groups, would that be possible for Puerto Rico to work with?

MARCOS HANKE: This is what I suggest, yes.

**TONY BLANCHARD:** Okay.

MIGUEL ROLON: Just for the record, Marcos doesn't represent Puerto Rico. Puerto Rico is not here today, but yes, they can do that. If they have a rationale for reviewing the form that they used to split the species and all that, they will do it.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: I have Velasquez and then Roberto Silva.

**CARLOS VELASQUEZ:** Robert, for you, is it for the commercial sector or the recreational sector for the parrotfish?

48 ROBERTO REYES: I was asking -- Since Puerto Ricans don't

actually sell -- They are not selling most of the parrotfish, and I'm just talking about the recreational in the parrotfish, because of -- If you talk about hogfish, they were closed for only seven pounds. I mean it's crazy, I think, but parrotfish, recreational.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Silva.

ROBERTO SILVA: We tried to configure many ideas on the road in these meetings. I am suggesting to the Caribbean Fishery Management Council to maybe prepare our meeting before one week before these big meetings to prepare to be all in -- Maybe in the council and to get a meeting with the DAP of Puerto Rico to prepare a better position on that. We are clarified on that situation, because we don't have any data before, and the first time we see all the data is on the road here. We can make a better job if we got the data before.

MIGUEL ROLON: You keep forgetting the reason why we had this meeting. The first was for the DAP people to see how the SSC works. We were able to have the benefit of the Chair of the SSC asking the questions to the DAP Chairs about the lists of species that we have, but you still have a chance, in several meetings, to do what Roberto is asking. You will have a meeting of each of the DAPs where we will have the information and we will discuss this.

This is not the last time that you will see this list, and this is not the day that we are going to definitely adopt the list of species. You will have an opportunity to react to the council proposal and the list of species, and rest assured that we will take that very seriously. You will have the information in front of you and you will have an opportunity then to express your views on each one of the items that we have.

There are certain things that you can jump up and down until you turn blue and they won't be changed. The way that we collect the statistics, there are certain things that have to be kept that way because the law says so and all of that, but, still, there is room for improvement.

Actually, at the next DAP meeting, Roberto suggested to talk about electronic reporting and see what we have. Actually, I thought we were going to include that in Other Business, but when we have time here, we can start talking seriously about the possibility of moving ahead with an electronic data collection system.

 Nelson, do you have any other recommendations that you think was not included from your meeting yesterday? Also, I would like to hear from your group if you have recommendations as to what agenda items you would like to see at your next DAP meeting. The same is true for the other two groups.

**NELSON CRESPO:** At the moment, no other recommendations, but I support strongly what Roberto Silva says. We have to discuss and we have to move to the electronic data reporting. That's the key to have better management here.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Cedric.

CEDRIC TAQUIN: Just adding to this electronic data, I've been thinking for a while, and I wanted to add this really fast, about how it would probably be important to also get data from, for example, my side of this, which is being a restaurant and a fish buyer.

Fishermen report fish quantities, but I think we should also get a small sample or some sample, at some scale, in key places on the island, using key restaurants, using whatever, where people would, either anonymously or however, report the amounts of fish they're buying and who they might be buying it for, to kind of get a sample of whether it's coming from a recreational or whether it's coming from a commercial or whether it's being imported.

I think there's a lot of misinformation, and we're trying to work a lot with all this hard information to get, but it would be interesting to see what the other people, which I would say is the buyers, are saying about what's going in the market. How much fish are they buying and when are they buying it and from who are they buying it? This would be interesting to combine these two, obviously after a year or two years or three years, down the line, to see what's really going on in the market with the fish here. That would be it. Thank you.

MIGUEL ROLON: Cedric, before you go, just for the record, because we're going to have transcription, could you state your name again and where you're from?

**CEDRIC TAQUIN:** Cedric Taquin, and I'm from Arecibo, Puerto 44 Rico.

**CARLOS FARCHETTE:** Raymundo.

48 RAYMUNDO ESPINOZA: Good morning, everybody. Raymundo Espinoza

with the Nature Conservancy. It's really great for us to hear this conversation in moving towards further support from the sector on electronic reporting and monitoring. We are currently partnered with Point 97, an Ecotrust company, to really promote and further expand that in Puerto Rico.

They have also started working with it in the USVI as well in the past year, and they believe they have about twenty fishermen in the USVI that they're working with in doing the pilot. In Puerto Rico, we were only able to start with four, until we ran out of funding. Right now, we're currently in the process of getting more funding to really support the whole sector in moving towards that possibility of electronic reporting and monitoring.

It was really great to see, from the fishermen's side, that there is an interest and that there is acceptance of moving in that direction and the recognition of how this could improve management, both from the fishermen point of view but also from the agency's point of view.

On that note, hopefully we should be getting that funding coming online, working in partnership with the Department of Natural Resources, in October of this year. In October of this year, it should start to pick up once again. Of course, this is local landings, and so it's working with the local agency. We've been working with Dr. Garcia from the DNER and with the Secretary Guerrero on this effort, and so this has local government acceptance as well.

Cedric, one of the points that you bring is traceability. The aspect of traceability is, of course, crucial to see really what the market is and what seafood in Puerto Rico is really -- Where it's going and where it's not. We keep hearing parrotfish are really not on the market here, but then we go to the supermarket and we see them there and they're sold out all the time, and so it's really interesting to see how the catch data and then the market data and then compare that.

At the Conservancy, we have several aspects that we've been working with in California with the rockfish, and especially with the data monitoring, but also in South American, in Chile and in Peru, with something called shell Catch.

Shell Catch is an effort that does exactly what Cedric says. It's from the fishermen, but then it also is to the seller, the wholesaler, as well. We can actually see what restaurant it's going to or what final consumer it's going to as well.

 That really helps fishermen as well to plan part of their catch, saying this month is really big for this seafood, and many of you already know this information. It's part of your industry, part of your knowledge, but it's really great to be able to quantify that and analyze that to see how we compare that with management regulations that benefit both the environmental sector as well as the fishing community.

This is something that we're really working towards promoting further, specifically in Puerto Rico in the next couple of years, and in the USVI, we're also working with that, with more from the sustainable fisheries sector, and, on the market side, with restaurant specifically. Some of you in the USVI will know the Reef Responsible campaign that Louis from the Conservancy has been leading on that side from us and working with the NOAA Fisheries liaison, Lia Ortiz, as well.

It's something that -- It's good to see that the whole sector, both management and both the fisheries, commercial fisheries sector as well as the NGOs locally, collaborating and moving towards one direction, and so it's really good to see the communication.

MIGUEL ROLON: Raymundo, what is the schedule of the -- Your organization is working on the electronic data collection system? What is the schedule of implementation and what type of electronic collection system? The reason I'm asking you is I've been asked by many of the fishers, and, actually, some of them are here, to see if we can put together a webpage where they can upload their information and also see the grouping, but I don't want to go over what you're already doing, so we don't reinvent the wheel. Can you address that?

RAYMUNDO ESPINOZA: Yes, I can. The pilot that's begun to be implemented is called Digital Deck. This is something that Point 97 developed and they have begun to run with four fishermen here.

They have begun to put the fisheries statistics forms that fishermen have to fill out now on app for I believe both Android and Apple and we're also putting it to be a web platform, so that folks that don't have cell phones can actually do it at home from a computer from the internet. We know a lot of folks get assistance from statistics from other family members, and so we want to make sure that this is accessible to everybody.

We're at that point right now, and it's not widespread, like I

said. We're making sure that we're working with Point 97 to get all the bugs out of the system to a point that we can actually expand it to more than four fishermen.

In October, when hopefully this funding comes online, we are planning -- The idea of the proposal is to go online and not just with four fishermen, but try to tackle a whole sector of a fishery, a whole fishery. Let's say all of the folks working with conch and lobster, and so let's get everybody in conch and lobster participating or all the folks working with the special license of gueen snapper in Puerto Rico.

We will get all of them participating, because they already have certain regulations, certain special licenses, that they have to comply with. This is a special sector that would be a little bit easier to reach out to, since it's already been identified. There's about maybe fifty-seven or sixty-three licenses, I think.

This is something that we can already identify and get that whole sector participating, to see how the platform, how Digital Deck, functions with a whole sector of a fishery. This is the idea.

The roadmap would be four years until we would be able to get all of the fisheries sectors in all of Puerto Rico online being able to report electronically, but the first year would be just one sector of the fishery, to see how that functions. Right now, the folks that have all the technical data is Point 97 and Charles Steinbeck is leading that effort. Many of you might know him. He's been out to the field. Unfortunately, Dan Crowder, that's been also the person, he left Point 97, and so they are replacing that position shortly, and that's the person that goes out in the field.

Miguel, specifically, I think we need to probably invite Point 97 to present for the DAPs as well as for the council, to see an update of where everything is at, to make sure that we an align all the other efforts, because, again, this is one electronic monitoring platform. There is many out there, and so what we want to do, at least from the point of view of the Conservancy, is support the one that's going to have the most success on the ground and it's going to facilitate fishermen participation, and, up until now, the Digital Deck seems to be the one that would work in Puerto Rico and the USVI.

MIGUEL ROLON: Mr. Chairman, this is an interesting, long topic. We don't have the time for it today, but I propose that between

here and the August meeting that the staff will meet with Raymundo and Puerto Rico and others and also the DAP, and so we will have a full report and presentation. We already have a presentation from Point 97 when they started, and so we know what you're doing.

For the August meeting, between here and August, not the June meeting, we would like to bring to your attention a full list of updates on electronic reporting and some alternatives that Raymundo and other technical people from other agencies will be talking about, in addition to the effort by the National Marine Fisheries Service.

The National Marine Fisheries Service is moving toward electronic reporting and electronic monitoring as fast as they can for the whole nation, and we will be able to bring to your August meeting more information for you to discuss.

ROBERTO SILVA: The electronic data maybe can help us in the ACLs, because we are offset, completely offset, by the focus on -- Right now, I use the same numbers of 327,000 pounds of lobsters for Puerto Rico and it doesn't make sense. 400 fishermen, full-time, two times a week, in one year, you just can't catch 7.8 pounds of lobster per day. It doesn't make sense.

Many of the ACLs right now have to be reevaluated, and maybe the electronic data can help us to maybe handle the ACLs in Puerto Rico. I am a commercial fisherman full-time for lobster and I know we caught a lot more than 327,000 pounds a year, believe me, and it's stable and vibrant and in good condition. I hope one day -- I work a lot on that and I say the same every time. Thank you.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Nelson.

NELSON CRESPO: I just want to add that on the west coast of Puerto Rico that we had a really good lobster season this year, huge season.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Okay. We are going to move forward here, because our time is limited, and so we're going to go to the Review of Goals and Objectives for the Island-Based Fishery Management Plans. Its going to be run by Bill.

### REVIEW GOALS AND OBJECTIVES FOR THE IBFMPs

BILL ARNOLD: I am happy to talk about it. I'm not going to run

it. The council establishes their goals and objectives and not me, but, as part of developing the new fishery management plans, you should have included in each of those three fishery management plans a set of goals and objectives that you use to guide how the management plans are structured and what you hope to get out of these management plans.

This can be a wide range of things. I prepared a very tentative draft list for use by the council as a start point of fourteen different objectives that could be included in these management plans, but those are just suggestions and the council, the seven member of this council, really it's their responsibility to determine what they want those goals and objectives to be in relation to the species that are being managed, the level at which those species are allowed to be caught, any management constraints on the harvest of those species, for both the commercial and the recreational sectors.

Basically, it's just what their vision is of how commercial and recreational fisheries should operate in federal waters surrounding each of Puerto Rico, St. Thomas/St. John, and St. Croix. That's what these goals and objectives are all about.

As I've said many times, at almost every meeting I attend, all of this stuff is intertwined. Your goals and objectives will influence your ABC control rule, your level of reduction from your maximum sustainable yield, how much do you want to reduce to identify and operate at optimum yield, which can be equal to your maximum sustainable yield or it can be lower.

You really need to start thinking about these goals and objectives. What I would like to see at this meeting is for everybody to become familiar with the concept of goals and objectives and to start thinking about what they want those goals and objectives to be, so that when we come back at the June council meeting that everyone will not be surprised, but will be fully ready and fully educated to either develop or put their stamp of approval on the list of goals and objectives that the council wants to implement for each of these fishery management plans.

I would emphasize that those goals and objectives do not need to be the same for each fishery management plan. Puerto Rico's goals and objectives may differ from St. Croix's goals and objectives, and that's okay.

That's why we're separating into island-based fishery management plans, so that we can manage St. Croix fisheries in federal

waters in the best way possible for the people of St. Croix and the resources that they depend upon, and that may be different from how St. Thomas and St. John needs to manage their fisheries to achieve those or other goals and objectives.

This is a really important part of the process, and it's time to start addressing it. We've identified the species and species groups, really the species and we're working on species groups, but we have identified at least a tentative list of species that the council intends to manage in federal waters around each of these islands.

Now that we know what we're going to manage in general, and there is still a process that has to take place before that's finalized, but at least we have general concepts in place that can guide how each fishery is managed and what the goals and objectives for those fisheries are. That's where we are on this. Again, I emphasize that this is a very important responsibility of the council to develop these goals and objectives.

## CARLOS FARCHETTE: Miguel.

MIGUEL ROLON: Mr. Chairman, goals and objectives are something that -- I've been working for around forty years, and that has always confused me. What is goal and what is an objective? Here, probably what we're doing is introducing the concept for you to be prepared for the June meeting.

For example, the goal could be the same for the entire U.S. Caribbean, which is management of the marine resources, fishery resources, around the U.S. Caribbean the best way possible, using the best available information, all these motherhood and apple pie kinds of things.

Also, you can have in the goals items that can be different for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, St. Croix Virgin Islands and St. Thomas/St. John. In general, when you look at the goals and objectives, they are kind of an umbrella that will cover your final goal, which is the management of the species under the Magnuson Act.

The objective though, you have to pay more attention as to what you want to do, because the objective has to be measurable. If you have an objective, for example, that will be to increase the availability of a particular fish for the recreational and the commercial sector, then your objective is that one, but you have to also say how you're going to do it, and so your objectives

will be followed by some metrics. For example, if you have a particular fish, your objective is to increase the availability of that fish for Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and St. Croix, because it's common to the three of them, then you will have size limits and you will have closed seasons or whatever. Then you can measure, at the end -- You start with a baseline of saying the scientists will use length frequency analysis and say this is the status of the fishery, similar to what you saw yesterday in the discussion with the SSC, or you can say there are more larger parental animals, the parental stocks larger.

Those are things that you can measure, and then you also have to address, at that time, which species, which fisheries, you want to see under each one of the objectives.

For some people, it's kind of a chicken-and-egg thing. Tell me the fishery and I will tell you the objectives, but that's not the way it works. Right now, you have an indication of where you can go with a grouping of species. With the discussion of the last two days and the reports that will be brought to the council this morning by Julian and Eddie, you will see more or less what is the composition of the species for each one of the island groups that we are going to manage.

I will ask Bill here of just one or two examples of possible goals and possible objectives, so we can discuss it, so by the time that you have the opportunity in June, you will have it. Also, we discussed with Yasmin to prepare a document that will be distributed and so, between here and June, you will have a list of objectives, but the objectives also address common grounds that National Marine Fisheries Service would like to --Not common grounds, but certain items in the goals and objectives will have to follow the directives of the National Marine Fisheries Service in the implementation of MSA.

 For example, we are going to be discussing ecosystem-based management and we are going to discuss endangered species. All the species that you have a responsibility for will be part of these discussions. Do you have any --

BILL ARNOLD: Of course I do, Miguel. Here is a good example of how this could operate. You saw yesterday a presentation by Clay Porch from the Southeast Fisheries Science Center on the various models, data-limited models, that can be applied, fifty-seven different models that can be applied, to determine what -- To assess the state of a particular fishery, a particular species.

 You could say, as an objective, that our objective is to maximize that yield while not exceeding, and this is just an example, while not exceeding a 40 percent chance of overfishing the resource.

Now, you have isolated -- You have reduced the number of models that you can consider within that objective, because a whole bunch of these models have a likelihood of exceeding overfishing of greater than 40 percent, and so you can just throw them right out. That is how an objective relates to a specific development of the models that are used to determine what a suitable catch rate is for these species.

Another objective could be want to ensure that the grazing capacity of the reef is maintained, and so, to do that, one of the SSC obligations is to establish the acceptable biological catch. As you saw in Clay's report, you start out with this maximum sustained yield and then you work through these other parameters to get down to that acceptable biological catch.

You're reducing, or not -- You can make your acceptable biological catch equal to the maximum sustainable yield or you can make it less. You're generally not going to make it more than the maximum sustainable yield. That's going to lead to trouble with the resource.

You say, okay, for lobster, lobster don't contribute to grazing in the reef, and so we don't need to consider them within the context of the grazing capacity on the reef. Parrotfish do contribute to the grazing capacity on the reef and so we may want to be more careful with them.

That objective provides guidance to the SSC as to how they reduce from the maximum sustainable yield to get to that acceptable biological catch, and so that's why I say these things interact. You have models that can develop harvest targets, and you have scientific information that goes into influencing how you develop those targets, and you have objectives that guide how that scientific information is applied and how these fisheries are managed.

 That's why it's imperative to get started on the council clearly establishing what their goals and objectives are, so that the SSC can use those as guidance. Now, the SSC is going to move forward with developing models and developing scenarios for establishing these ABCs, but those will just be alternatives. They should provide alternative routes to get to their ABC so

that those can be considered by the council within the context of their goals and objectives, because remember the council can or cannot accept the SSC's recommendations for acceptable biological catch.

They should not exceed that level, but they could go below it, and they also have authority themselves to reduce to the ACL, which is the critical parameter that the fishermen are most interested in, what are you going to be allowed to catch in a single year, how much of a particular species or species group, before we have to apply accountability measures to reign in that catch.

It's a complex process, but it's a very commonsense process, and, once you get familiar with it, it's relatively easy to understand how and why these very important decisions are being made with respect to your livelihoods.

MIGUEL ROLON: What would be a goal in that example, Bill? You discussed the objectives, but that would be under which goal?

BILL ARNOLD: To me, the goal is the overarching guiding principle of fisheries management in federal waters in the U.S. Caribbean, and I could come up with a lot of goals, but it seems, to me, the goal is to maintain the health of the resources and the communities that are dependent upon that resource, the fishing communities.

I think that is one possible goal, but I just feel like I'm putting words in your mouths, but it seems reasonable that -- Without the resource, you've got nothing, and so you need to protect that resource, and, by protecting that resource, you are going to protect, and to the maximum level possible, benefit these fishing communities.

As I've said many, many times, I work for the Department of Commerce. Our job is not to put people out of business. Our job is to maximize business opportunities in a sustainable manner, and so the one thing we have to consider every day in our fisheries management is how do we ensure not just that the fishermen and these businesses are doing as well as possible, but they're going to do as well as possible into the foreseeable future. That's the sustainable component of that.

Obviously if we just wanted to do the best we could in a single year and not worry about the next year, then the idea would be to get out there and kill everything you can and let's go after it.

1 2

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Okay, I've got Roberto Silva, but, before I go there, these fourteen objectives that were sent, are we supposed to discuss each one of these with the council or --

BILL ARNOLD: You should do as you wish with those. If you wish to throw them away, you may, Carlos. They're just suggestions.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: No, I like these. That's why I want to know what we've got to do.

**BILL ARNOLD:** I would say, Carlos, they're developed based upon the Magnuson-Stevens Act and the National Standards that are developed from that act, and that's the guidance that I used in developing my fourteen points.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: I really like them. That's why I know what we're going to do with them, but, Silva.

ROBERTO SILVA: I completely agree with Mr. Bill Arnold. We have to create something. My problem always is the numbers. The numbers we use right now is completely offset. Right now, I get afraid. If we start to collect good data and we got 327,000 pounds per year on lobster and when we've got good data, we rise to 600,000, at the end, where are our position? Maybe closed for two years or what? Do you know?

How is the weight in that model when you start collecting good data, good numbers, and it's completely big numbers. I don't know how to -- We get afraid on that, and I completely agree that we have to establish something to manage the --

MIGUEL ROLON: Mr. Chairman, what we are discussing at this time now is general goals and objectives so the council can understand what the hell we're talking about. We have a document that was sent to us by the Pew Charitable Fund and I have an idea of -- One goal could be to maintain the long-term sustainable use of the coral reefs. We are going to distribute this, or they are going to distribute this so you have an idea.

This is not the document that will be your goals and objectives, but it will give you an idea of how this is written. You will have also the fourteen points that was mentioned by Bill Arnold and then you will be able to compare notes. By the time that we meet in June, you will have a better grasp of what is goals and objectives, what is it that we mean by -- What is the meaning of reviewing the goals and objectives for each FMP.

 It's not a trivial exercise, because the objectives, as I said, have to be measurable. For example, your goal could be, in the minds of any fisherman, is how much I can get under the Act that I can take home.

That means that the overall benefits is to maximize the economic return to the fishers, maximize the opportunity for recreational fishermen to enjoy the resource. That could be a goal, but your objectives have to address which species we fish for commercial fisheries and which species we fish for recreational fisheries and how can we achieve those goals.

The example that was brought by Bill addresses the issue of how risky you can be under the Act. Then if you tell the SSC, from what you heard yesterday, that you want 40 percent risk of going over the maximum allowable catch of the ACL that you have, then the staff has to tell the group that is working with this that this is what the council wants and this is what we need to present to them.

It will be very difficult for you to pick those numbers, because yesterday, when you were hearing Clay Porch talk, you tend to think that I understand that, I understand that slide, and then the next one comes and you say, I forgot about the first one. You have to take this home and digest it a little bit more.

We do not pretend that everybody will come out of this meeting being the expert like Clay Porch is, but at least you will be able to come out of this meeting with a broader idea of what we mean when we say the objectives for this particular fishery are to reduce the possibility of overfishing by 40 percent, or accept 40 percent as your risk of going over that particular fishery.

Until you see those documents in front of you, and actually before the council meeting we will send it to you, you will have an idea of what this is all about.

If I may, Mr. Chairman, following the example here, I would like to ask Yasmin to introduce the topic to the group, so we can distribute your document to everybody. As I said, it's just an example of a list of goals and objectives that you can adopt. It's not the list of objectives that you will be having.

YASMIN VELEZ: Thank you for the opportunity. To me, this is great news that we're discussing this topic. As you may all remember, this has been an important issue for Pew for a couple of years now. We have been asking the council to consider

including goals and objectives in this IBFMPs. The reason is basically because the transition from species-based to island-based management really entails a significant change in how you manage things, and that is inherently ecosystem-based. As we all know, the reason for this change --

MIGUEL ROLON: Can you introduce the topic of goals and objectives, the document that you have, and let them discuss it a little bit?

 YASMIN VELEZ: Yes, but can I do an introduction? The point is that you are discussing this because you recognize that there are significant differences between the islands, socioeconomic, cultural, biological, as you have been discussing for a couple of days.

What we did is we just analyzed what could be possible goals and objectives for these new IBFMPs. We developed a draft that is purely for discussion, as Bill mentioned for the goals and objectives that he developed, and so we shared that document with Miguel Rolon and Carlos Farchette. It's just for the council to have an idea of what could be possible goals and objectives for these new IBFMPs. This does not mean that this is written in stone and that should be the version, but it's just a document to develop the goals and objectives for the region.

 We really look forward to just helping and being a resource on that process. If we are permitted, I would also like to extend sharing that document with the DAP members, in order for them to see the document and understand it. They are written in English and Spanish, and so you will have the opportunity to just read them with time and then comment on them and give recommendations to the council, as it should be.

I have some copies here. I don't think it will be enough for all the people in the room, but I can share with you, if you want to, some of the copies so you can see and have an idea of what we've come up with.

MIGUEL ROLON: Thank you, Yasmin. If you want to, we can make copies in the business room and make copies so that people can take it, but we are going to distribute it to each council member. I am doing it right now via email.

What Yasmin is telling you is that her organization is trying to help in the process and not that they mean to push for any particular agenda, but it's just that, working together, we can

develop these goals and objectives in a better way. As I said, I've been doing this for thirty-five years. Every time that we meet, people define goals different from objectives and it's a mess. Sometimes we mix them together.

With this example, you can see how these documents are developed. For the June meeting, we will have the opportunity of having in front of you the document that Bill addressed, and so you have fourteen or fifteen or whatever number of items that you should be addressing.

 I intend to have a meeting between here and August, if not sooner, of the DAPs, so that the DAP Puerto Rico can take a look at the two or three agenda items that we will have for the June meeting. The same will go for the St. Thomas/St. John and St. Croix DAPs.

Pew Charitable Trust is a member of the three DAPs, and so they will have an opportunity there to explain to the group what this is all about. Is there anything else, Yasmin, at this time?

YASMIN VELEZ: Do you want me to read a couple of them?

MIGUEL ROLON: No, we can just distribute it.

YASMIN VELEZ: So that will be it. If there are any questions, just let me know.

MIGUEL ROLON: If you have a chance and you look at it before here and noon and the break, talk to Yasmin about it. Mr. Chairman, the question is we need to hear from the council members at this time, the council members, of do you have a clear understanding of what are the next steps for the goals and objectives?

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Marcos Hanke.

 MARCOS HANKE: Addressing your question, the question is for Bill, just to use as an exercise of brainstorming and understanding this better. For example, you refer, as a goal, to protect the grazers as a goal. For example, we are going to get into the discussion of fine-tuning or changing things like I'm going to say now on the goals.

For example, emphasize the protection or the management of the species with ecological importance. That will include the grazers along with others that are not grazers. This is the kind of language that we have to address on the goals or to make

broader or to make narrower or how do you see that? I think that's an important clarification.

**BILL ARNOLD:** The way I would explain this is protecting grazers would not be a goal. A goal might be to protect the ecosystem integrity of the coral reef system.

MARCOS HANKE: Which is ecological importance.

 BILL ARNOLD: Yes, and so then, in one objective, one objective under that goal, might be maintain grazing capacity. Another objective might be to ensure the health of top-level predators. That could be another thing.

Each of these different components contributes to that goal of maintaining the health of the ecosystem. That's the way I would look at it. The goal is sort of an overarching desire and the objectives are the specific pathways you are going to follow to achieve that overarching goal.

MARCOS HANKE: Yes, but the way I see it, and this is where I want the discussion to go around, is different on the goal, on the broader part, to say we're going to protect or to manage fishes or the fishery that is of ecological importance and leave it like that or it's different than saying we're going to protect the species declared by the council and the SSC of ecological importance.

Those are two different things. One is way broader and you can interpret them many, many different ways. The other one is a little more precise and gives more of the intention of the council. What do you have to comment on that?

 BILL ARNOLD: That's one of the challenges that the council has to address, is defining first the goal or goals that they think best captures what they're trying to achieve with fisheries management in Caribbean federal waters. You could, for example, say maximize harvest within the context of ecosystem health or something like this. There a lot of ways you can phrase your goals.

MARCOS HANKE: I understand.

**CARLOS FARCHETTE:** A lot of those answers are in those fourteen topics. Blanchard.

**TONY BLANCHARD:** I am going to try to put it out there in layman's terms for Marcos. It's like planning a trip to Spain.

That's the goal, you want to get to Spain, but now you need to figure out how you're going to get to Spain. That's the objectives.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: I still say the goal is in the document. We will do a quick five minutes. I think we're going to get this document up on the screen.

(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

 MIGUEL ROLON: What we are finishing doing is the Caribbean Fishery Management Council goals and objectives for this area. Given that the objectives may impact your fisheries in different ways, we will prepare a draft document for your perusal that we are going to distribute to every DAP group as well as the council.

If you look at the overarching goal of Puerto Rico's fishery management plan, it's to ensure the continued health of the fishery resources in the Exclusive Economic Zone, et cetera. Then when you look at the objectives in order to achieve that goal, the following objectives are defined. Then your task as a council member will be to look at those objectives under the umbrella of the goal for Puerto Rico and see if they make any sense for you as a council member.

I will cut and paste from the document that has been distributed by Yasmin, because they organized it differently, but also have a good start there that we can put together. We will send it to each one of you sitting around the table as council members and the DAP Chairs and the DAP members. The DAP Chairs will collect the comments from their groups and they will present that at the next meeting in June.

In June, we would like to get out of that meeting with a document approved by the council for public hearings that will include the goals and objectives of each one of the management plans.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Blanchard.

TONY BLANCHARD: I've just got a question for you here, Miguel. Seeing that the DAP is dealing with the fish species, are they also going to come up with the goals and objectives, or that's up to us?

MIGUEL ROLON: No, the goals and objectives is the council, but we want to share it with the DAPs so they can contribute to the

discussion, because -- Mike Fuller and I were talking before and practicalities of the objectives are of everybody, commercial and recreational fishermen, and so, before you approve an objective, you want to know what is going to happen to that fishery if we adopt this objective. That will be the task not only of the council, but of the DAP members and the general public also.

This is an excerpt from the document that was distributed by Yasmin. As you can see, they have an example of goals and objectives. Goal Number 1 is to maintain long-term sustainable use of coral reef, et cetera. Then, to achieve those four goals, these are the objectives that you have.

 In essence, it's the same as the one that you saw with the fourteen objectives. It's just a matter of how you structure those objectives, but the council has to approve this as your document that will be taken to public hearings and you would like to hear from each one of your advisory bodies. That includes the SSC, of course, and the three DAPs.

As I said before, it's kind of an innocent document, but it's the core of your document and it's the core of your management plans. In order for you to have a clear picture as to why you have a management plan and where you want to go with that management plan, your goals and objectives have to be very, very clear.

Some of these objectives will have mathematical consequences, like the one that was mentioned by Bill. If you accept 40 percent of a particular fishery as your risk of not being at the stage of overfishing or beyond the ABC, then your objective has to be clear as to that point.

Mr. Chairman, probably at this time we would like to open it to the council members, to ask you if you are clear in terms of what we intend to do and the schedule of events until June.

## CARLOS FARCHETTE: Marcos.

MARCOS HANKE: From my part, I'm very clear. I am expecting between all the fishing communities and the council members to communicate among each other and to support us on this task, because we are dealing with terminology and definitions that can look innocent, but they are not. We need to be very careful with it.

**CARLOS FARCHETTE:** Velasquez.

**CARLOS VELASQUEZ:** (Mr. Velasquez's comments were in Spanish and were not transcribed.)

MIGUEL ROLON: (Mr. Rolon's comments were in Spanish and were not transcribed.)

What we would like to do then, at this time, is to, as a council, to trust the staff to put together this document. It will be distributed electronically to all members of the DAPs and all members of the council and all members of the SSC, so they will have ample opportunity to discuss it with their peers. Then they can bring to the attention of the council at the June meeting all of those concerns.

As I said, I thank Carlos for bringing this up at another time, but this is the kind of reality check that we want from you, especially from the DAPs, about the things that we can do.

The SSC will have to also look at it, because we if have an objective that is not supported by any science, then it's no good to have an objective like that. Mr. Chairman, you can go into the other discussion if you want, because we have the Chairmen of the two groups here, and so we can hear the report from them.

At this time, again, for the council members, we all understand what we are going to do with the goals and objectives? The staff is going to circulate this information to you.

**CARLOS FARCHETTE:** We went through Puerto Rico already and now we're going to start with Julian Magras from St. Thomas/St. John.

# DISTRICT ADVISORY PANEL INDIVIDUAL MEETING RECOMMENDATIONS ST. THOMAS/ST. JOHN

JULIAN MAGRAS: What took place upstairs is pretty much took place with Puerto Rico yesterday on the screen, where we went over the list of species and we -- To start off, we extracted all the species that were not federally deemed to collect information on presently, and, also, with what the DAP committee did for St. Thomas/St. John, the species that we decided were not important or the scientists determined they were not biologically or ecologically important and removed those species from the group.

With that said, we came up with the units that would be kept and

looked at. For St. Thomas/St. John, what we did was we kept all four snapper units and some of them are smaller after the extractions were taken out. We're going to keep the four snapper units.

The same with the grouper units. In the grouper units, we made a change in the grouper unit, where we added the yellowmouth grouper into the group with the yellowfin and the red grouper and the black grouper. Those are all together, and we have the coney and the red hind that are going to be in one group by themselves. We decided not to separate them out and we decided to keep them that way.

As far as the grunts, the scientists decided that we needed to take out the margate separate and the blue-striped and the white grunt and keep those in two separate units, and so that decision was also made, which I don't think we see a problem with that.

They kept the misty grouper by itself. There is some discussion that is still going on of should the misty grouper go in with the deepwater snappers, but, for right now, in St. Thomas/St. John, we kept them separate in the reporting.

Of course, the parrotfish, we kept all the parrotfish, the seven parrotfish, in one unit. We are collecting data for three of them presently. The reason why they're only seeing data for three of them presently is because of the new reporting system, but I also put on the record that there has been a lot of discussion about improving the port sampling and actually, for parrotfish, Fish and Wildlife is already doing a study on parrotfish, and so there's a lot of information out there on parrotfish.

Since the new Director Gomez came onboard, the port sampling has started back and we are moving. We are getting a lot of port sampling done, and we're going to be doing more here coming up soon. They're reaching out to try and get more funding and everything, so we can do more port sampling and collect more data, which is the real data that's needed for the process that's taking place.

In St. Thomas/St. John, the fishermen are well-engaged with port sampling. We like to do port sampling, and all we have to do is make a telephone call and we move forward with that port sampling. They try to accommodate us and not we are trying to accommodate their schedule.

The way it was at one period of time, it was from eight to five.

If we call them and say, listen, we need a port sampling done at midnight for yellowtail snapper, we can call on them and they're there. We can call on them on a Saturday or Sunday. It doesn't matter. Once they know in advance that we want this sampling to be done, they accommodate us, which is very good and very important for what's taking place.

The scientists were happy to hear that, and they're going to be looking at what's there already, but they also realize that the information that's being gathered through the catch reports is only limited to what's on the catch report and only a few fishermen actually write in where it says "other", and so you're not capturing a lot of information from the other species.

Through some discussions earlier today, we're also looking at hopefully, in the near future, to change the catch reporting form once again, where we can capture, now that we have these key units with the key species, capture the information for these species as much as possible. That's the goal.

If we have these small units, which finally we have the units that you can look at in a smaller number, the chances of the fishermen cooperating to give you information on all of those unit species is great, because the number is not the way it was at one point five years ago, where they wanted to get numbers at thirty-three species, which we fought them down and got them, through a lot of meetings and discussions and arguments, we got them down to the smaller format that we are using.

Now that that has changed, the fishermen also realize that we need to provide data for the species that are missing, so we don't end up with a closure or a lot of uncertainty because there is no information for that species. That's what we're going to be working at here very soon.

As far as the rest of the groups, we kept the lobster as is and we kept the conch as is. There was some discussion about sea cucumbers and urchins and we had a lot of discussion back and forth on that, but it was on the table because one of the commercial fishers in St. Thomas wanted to leave it there as maybe a viable fishery for the future, even though others have concerns about it for St. Thomas/St. John.

It was put on the table and we put it out as discussion, where we will hear input from the council at the June meeting and from the scientists and their take on should we have an ACL or should we not have an ACL. We left it to them. We gave our suggestions on what we thought about it. Of course, we kept the

corals at zero ACL.

Pretty much, that was the report. It was good collaboration with the scientists. It was a great opportunity from the being offered the opportunity with the members of the DAP to sit with the SSC. You don't normally see that, but it not only gave the SSC a lot more information on what's going on from the commercial and the recreational and the diving side of it, but it actually got them to understand how we actually operate and what goes on within the sector.

 Not only that, but NOAA Fisheries and their group, they got to see also, through some of the presentations, that they were actually missing -- They were actually missing stuff that could have been plugged into some of the equations and the clusters that could have made that outcome different.

I give a lot of thanks to Miguel and the group, the Caribbean Fishery Management Council, and to Dr. Appeldoorn. He did an excellent job running this meeting, and I appreciate and look forward to continue working with you guys.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Thank you, Julian. Edward Schuster.

#### ST. CROIX

EDWARD SCHUSTER: Yesterday afternoon, after Puerto Rico went through a lengthy discussion about their grouping for their species, we did a sit-down in the afternoon, the DAP members, Gerson, Daley, Virdin Brown, and myself. We sat down and we discussed with Graciela and put in our opinions.

We kept the Snapper Unit 1 together and the Snapper Unit 2 together and added the misty grouper, because of the depths that the queen is caught and the misty is also caught. We separated yellowtail and mutton snapper and schoolmaster was separated and not lumped into a group.

As for the parrotfish, the three major parrotfish were set at a zero ACL, which they are a prohibited species, which would be the blue, the midnight, and the rainbow. All the other species were kept together, due to the fact that we have a 240,000-pound annual catch limit, with also a size limit of one of the species being an eight and all the others being a nine-inch.

I am not going down in the chronological order here, because I don't have any reference on there, but the corals were set at a zero ACL. The sea cucumbers is also up for discussion, but, for

right now, the sea urchins and -- The sea urchins are actually a no harvest, as it is in the EEZ, but they're looking to have discussion in terms of the SSC to discuss that.

The groupers were kept together, except for the misty that was put with the queen snapper in Snapper Unit 2. We stressed the importance of port sampling, which, thanks to Director Gomez, this has been happening, and we hope that it continues to grab some of the information, especially for the surgeonfish.

There was a slight discrepancy in terms of if they were actually -- If fishers actually knew which was what, but we stressed, and we meaning St. Thomas and St. Croix, we stressed the fact that all the surgeonfish were lumped together as surgeonfish, but they led us to know that the ocean and the blue was the difference, and it was identified by a white ribbon around its tail, and that would be the ocean, but we let them know that they all are lumped or recorded as doctor fish.

The grunts were kept together and also the porgies that we have were kept together also on our list. That basically concludes what happened in the upstairs.

#### CARLOS FARCHETTE: Julian.

JULIAN MAGRAS: I just wanted to add that I did forget to mention that we did have discussion about the dolphin, mahimahi, and we discussed that -- It was brought up at the last council meeting, and we also discussed that we are looking at having conversation about having a bag limit for recreational and a size limit for commercial, and so it was mentioned.

There is going to be some discussions, and, listening to the scientists talk back and forth amongst themselves, you're hearing it's going to come up at the next council meeting, where there is going to have to have something that's going to come out of this.

What I would recommend to all the DAPs is talk with your members. If you don't have anything in place as yet, come up with something that, in the event this comes on the table, which the possibility is very high, that you have something that you want to present.

It's better to have something to give the council to work with than they give you something that you can't work with, and so my advice is to just go back to all your members, because you have your recreational and you have your commercial and you have your environmentalists and everybody is there, and have conversations of what you think would be the best for the fishery, as a group, because you're speaking for a group, and have something prepared and ready to present, if needed, for the June council meeting.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Thank you, Julian. Bill.

**BILL ARNOLD:** A couple of comments and then a question. The first comment is I do think that Richard did a great job pulling this thing together. He deserves a lot of credit, because this was a tough, tough job.

The second is just a minor comment on the distinction between a prohibited species and a species for which an ACL of zero is assigned. A prohibited species, you're not allowed to harvest it or possess it or land it, et cetera, et cetera. A species with an ACL equals zero, you can land it. It will drive you over the ACL and accountability measures will need to be applied, but it's not illegal to have it in your boat, and so that's a big difference.

Nassau grouper is a prohibited species. If you're caught with it, you're in trouble. I can't think of a species with an ACL, but really, I think most of these ACL equals zero is we're talking about prohibited harvest. Corals is prohibited harvest. You don't want to exceed the ACL. You don't want people down there chipping off coral, et cetera, et cetera.

Then the question is when I went through the two lists, the two USVI lists, I saw differences. Not many, but some differences between the way the SSC would group it -- There was an SSC column and then there was a DAP column. Maybe I'm not interpreting this correctly, but it looked like, in a few instances, there were differences. Were those differences resolved or are we just using those as different approaches or how should we interpret that?

JULIAN MAGRAS: Like I said, after you did the abstracts out and you took everything out that didn't need to be in there, we, for St. Thomas/St. John, we pretty much kept everything the same as it is right now. The only thing that the scientific committee changed for us was they pulled out the margate. They kept everything else as is in how the units are grouped together. They separated out the margate.

There are some discussions about one of the porgies and does it actually need to be in there, but they left it for further discussion, but, as far as all the units for St. Thomas/St.

John, they kept them the same way and just moved, because it was 2 new, the yellowmouth grouper, moved that in with the yellowfin 3 grouper, because they had guidance from the University of the Virgin Islands that when they are doing their surveys on the yellowfin grouper that that's when it's normally seen, with that group of species. Otherwise than that, there was not much changes or breakouts in St. Thomas/St. John.

7 8 9

1

4

5

6

BILL ARNOLD: So which one do we use, the DAP column or the SSC column?

10 11 12

JULIAN MAGRAS: When you say the DAP or the SSC, the one we just created would be considered the SSC? Am I correct?

13 14 15

BILL ARNOLD: You have to tell me. I can't tell you.

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23 JULIAN MAGRAS: I would think that's -- We presented it to them as what and went over it with them, and so I would think it's their column, because they were the ones that was going on. presented to them and then they were going through it, and, like I said, the only change that they made for St. Thomas/St. John was really the yellowmouth and the margate. I would say from our committee, DAP St. Thomas/St. John, we move forward with the changes that the SSC just made.

24 25 26

BILL ARNOLD: Okay, and so I'm pretty sure I'm clear, but, just to make sure, we will have a margate group that the margate is in and then we will have a grunts group that has white grunt and blue-striped grunt?

29 30

27

28

That is correct. JULIAN MAGRAS:

31 32 33

BILL ARNOLD: Yellowmouth will be in Unit 4 and not in Unit 5?

34 35

JULIAN MAGRAS: Correct.

36

37 BILL ARNOLD: Thanks. I just wanted to make sure I was clear on 38 that, Julian.

39 40

41

42

JULIAN MAGRAS: First of all, those species had four criteria that got them on the list. It was ecological importance, biological importance, economic importance, and there was one Was it the vulnerability?

43 44 45

MIGUEL ROLON: It doesn't matter. With one, they will be in.

46

47 JULIAN MAGRAS: Right, but I'm just trying to list it, just to 48 give you -- We went through and you will see it. I mean I wish there was something up here on the screen we could refer to. You're looking at the copy that you got emailed to you, but it was a column that said "SSC Recommendations" and "DAP Recommendations".

Then there should be a column there, after all of the recommendations, and, I'm assuming with the changes that were made by the DAPs, that would be the column that would indicate that that's the reference they're going back to.

Some species were removed and added, due to the fact that there is current information being collected on it, and the other species that were removed were because of either it being ciguatoxic in the area or not necessarily a targeted species, and it didn't meet the biological and the ecological importance.

### **CARLOS FARCHETTE:** Miguel.

MIGUEL ROLON: Just, again, for the process, what we have done in the last two days is that the SSC had a chance to examine the list of species from the point of view of science and from the point of view of the practical aspects of that list when they consulted with the three Chairs of the DAP panel, which at the same time consulted with the members.

For the June meeting, you will have not those three columns, but you will have a list of the species that will be considered for each one of the management plans. If there is any discrepancy, significant discrepancy, between any of the DAPs on one particular species, we have to bring it for discussion, because remember what we are doing is preparing a document that will be taken to public hearings in due time, and that's why Bill was asking the question.

 It is clear, Mr. Chairman, that for the June meeting you will have a clean list, resulting from these two-and-a-half days of meeting, of the species that you will be including in each one of the management units of the plans that we have for Puerto Rico, St. Croix, and the Virgin Islands. Do you want to say something on St. Croix specifically?

 TOM DALEY: Many of the species that were dealt with are already under some sort of regulations. I am asking, especially like the mutton snapper, is there anything that can be done or will be done about that? Now, during the season, I think it's three months, mutton snapper is closed throughout the island, from the shoreline all the way out, though, in the opinion, in our opinion, it should not be, because of the amount of mutton

snapper that is there. We are now putting a number on the ACLs. I am asking if, now that you have it all, if it isn't a double-jeopardy.

MIGUEL ROLON: I am not following your question.

**BILL ARNOLD:** There is a management measure in place for mutton snapper in St. Croix and other areas, Tom, and that's what you're talking about.

TOM DALEY: Yes.

BILL ARNOLD: That is we would not have management measures in federal waters unless that species was managed. The first criterion that the council established for choosing species for management was are they already somehow managed, and mutton snapper was one that was.

Because of that, it is proposed for continued management, and so the mutton snapper, if all things move forward and what we're talking about actually comes to pass, mutton snapper would continue to be federally managed.

Now, you're talking about a separate issue. If we're going to continue to manage mutton snapper, how are we going to do it? One of our management measures is a three-month closure to fishing of mutton snapper. As a separate exercise, really after we've got these new fishery management plans in place, do we need to reevaluate that closure and either expand it, probably not, shorten it, get rid of it, reduce the spatial scope of it, or anything else. That is a management measure you would use to address the need to properly manage mutton snapper, and so you're talking about two separate issues here, really.

TOM DALEY: Okay. I may still ask that or bring a little light to it. It may not be a question, but lionfish. Lionfish is also a problem, and, with the amount of closed areas in St. Croix on a limited shelf. I am asking if there is any plans to reopen some of those areas that are closed in order to balance - That nature can then balance itself and some of those lionfish can be caught.

We recently had an incident where, in one of these closed areas, which is multipurpose use -- In other words, baiters are going in there. We had a shark attack and it took off a leg and whatever. The real story isn't known on it yet, but it's clear evidence that closed areas and multipurpose use are also dangerous. I am asking, now that we are putting every species

now under some sort of an ACL thing, if some of those areas that are closed could be reopened or considered.

BILL ARNOLD: There are several aspects to that question, Tom, and they're all valid in their own right. First, lionfish. Lionfish is a tricky issue, because if you just open the area up to all fishing, then you may reduce the lionfish population, but you could have severe impacts to some of the other species that are being protected in that area, and so that's not necessarily the best approach. You might come up with some management measure that allows you to attack lionfish and, okay, that's one thing.

The second thing is getting bit by a shark. Surfers on the east coast of Florida get bit by sharks all the time and those areas are wide open. It's just a matter of being in the wrong place at the wrong time. That doesn't really make the closed area dangerous. The question would be could that same thing have happened in an open area? Likely, it could have been.

The third thing is just the management of the managed areas, the closed areas. I do think -- The fishermen have complained, and rightfully so, that we close these areas and then we don't follow up with evaluations.

From our point of view, the evaluations are extremely expensive and we don't necessarily have the budget to do it, but that doesn't mean that our evaluation of the value and functionality of these closed areas doesn't need to be considered, and we are sensitive to that.

 Whether we're fully addressing it or not, we're certainly sensitive to the need to understand how a closure is functioning relative to the goals that were set for that closure in the first place.

**CARLOS FARCHETTE:** Schuster, and then we're going to move forward, because we have limited time and we want to get the ABC stuff out.

EDWARD SCHUSTER: This will be a short comment. We've gone too far to revisit reopening spawning aggregations. Consider having a permitting application to allow science or research just to do an evaluation of these aggregations, but opening them up to fishing is not the answer and it's not the balance.

**CARLOS FARCHETTE:** Okay, and moving forward to ABC Control Rule. 48 Miguel.

## 1 2

#### DISCUSSION OF ABC CONTROL RULE

 MIGUEL ROLON: From the presentation by Dr. Clay Porch, you have seen the definition of an ABC control rule and you have seen the roadmap that I mentioned before. If we follow that, and if the council concurs, then between here and June, the SSC and the staff has to put together a small group of scientists that could address this part of the process, which is to develop a draft ABC control document, where we are going to include all the elements that were discussed during the prior two days by the SSC.

Again, that would involve a lot of thinking about the models that we use, the models that we reject, and, in essence, you can have a document that will give you kind of a toolbox and, instead of using only one model for all of the species that we have under management, you can have a series of models that can be adapted to that particular fishery.

At the meeting in June, we expect to have that document for discussion at the council meeting. Remember, we are preparing these documents that later will be taken to the public, and so we're preparing parts of the document that will be taken to public hearings hopefully during 2016. At this time, Mr. Chairman, do you have any questions regarding the process between here and June on the ABC control rules?

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Jesse.

**JESSE RIVERA:** (Mr. Rivera's comments were in Spanish and were not transcribed.)

**MIGUEL ROLON:** (Mr. Rolon's comments were in Spanish and were 35 not transcribed.)

**JESSE RIVERA:** (Mr. Rivera's comments were in Spanish and were 38 not transcribed.)

**MIGUEL ROLON:** (Mr. Rolon's comments were in Spanish and were 41 not transcribed.)

**CARLOS FARCHETTE:** Silva, and then I need to make a comment on this ABC.

46 ROBERTO SILVA: (Mr. Silva's comments were in Spanish and were 47 not transcribed.)

MIGUEL ROLON: (Mr. Rolon's comments were in Spanish and were not transcribed.)

We are going to write a letter to the Secretary, because that's going to happen again. In English, for those people who are listening in, Puerto Rico is supposed to be sitting at this table and paying attention to what the council is saying and paying attention to what the constituents are saying and the scientists, because they are responsible for collecting the data.

They are responsible for having agents in the field, and so these issues that we are discussing today will not be discussed ten years from now. I won't be around. Probably, if I get a letter tomorrow, I wont be around next week, but, anyway, this is a serious matter.

We are affecting the fisheries of the islands of Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands and it's imperative that we have representation of the local governments here. It's also by law. MSA was not designed on thin air. I am going to write a letter to the Secretary so this won't happen again.

The last time this happened, I wrote a letter to the Governors of the Virgin Islands and the Governor of Puerto Rico, because at that meting we didn't have representation from any of the two governments, and the two Governors reacted in a very interesting way, but, anyway, I've got to get this off my chest, because this is very irresponsible not to have representation of the agencies that collect the information, of the agencies that are responsible for managing the fishery within their area of jurisdiction. We are not given any importance, by not being here, to the fisheries and the fishers of this area. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Thank you, Miguel. In dealing with the ABC control rules, we got read-ahead documents from Bill, and so I don't know if anybody had a chance to look at this and whether or not what we're going to do is look at these different tiers or conditions of use, particularly looking at 3 and 3b, where there is no assessment available, but landings data exists. Are we supposed to be looking at these documents and seeing what would fit us? Is that part of what these read-ahead documents were for, to see if we can use them under a control rule?

BILL ARNOLD: The reason I sent them to the council, Carlos, is just as a template for what these ABC control rules will look like. It's really a scientific process. I would anticipate it

will be, at least initially, driven by the Southeast Fisheries Science Center and the council's Scientific and Statistical Committee, and they will bring you -- As I said earlier today, that should be influenced by your goals and objectives, the council's goals and objectives, and what they should bring you is an ABC control rule that includes some alternative approaches.

For example, if you're going to focus on maximizing yield, you might use this model and they might have that in there as one option, one tier. You might have, okay, we want to maintain ecosystem integrity and you could take a different approach for that.

You could have that we're going to reduce from MSY to OY to ABC, and these are the options for doing that, the alternatives, and then that gives the council alternatives to choose from, and the public alternatives to choose from, in devising a final ABC control rule that will be applied to determining what that acceptable biological catch is and, ultimately, what that annual catch limit is.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Another question. So each of those units that these guys just separated species into would have a control rule? Like, for example, lobster, we feel that they're healthy and so they could be equal to instead of having that percentage reduction?

BILL ARNOLD: As we did with the 2010 and 2011 Caribbean ACL Amendments, we basically had one preferred alternative and, within that, there were different options sub-alternatives, and one sub-alternative might have been chosen for surgeonfish and angelfish, for example, and another for spiny lobster. You had a 10 percent reduction for spiny lobster and you had a 25 percent reduction for surgeonfish. These are options within that.

Really, and it's up to the SSC and the Science Center and not me, but I would envision one control rule that covers the entire thing, but alternatives within that control rule that may apply to different groups of species, and it doesn't have to be for each species or species group. You could be lumping them, like we lumped squirrelfish and jacks and all those things and said all these guys get a 10 percent reduction and then we had aquarium trade species and they had a different preferred alternative, et cetera, et cetera.

What you want to do is build an ABC control rule, at least in my

mind, that has some flexibility to adapt to the various species groups and what their specific needs are, and you could have a single ABC control rule for the U.S. Caribbean, but that is flexible enough to adapt to the needs of St. Croix and the separate needs of St. Thomas/St. John and the separate needs of Puerto Rico.

CARLOS FARCHETTE: Miquel.

MIGUEL ROLON: I need your help here again, Bill. In order to have these two documents prepared, you have to have goals and objectives first and then the ABC control rule. If we are going to have -- If we finish the goals and objectives in June, then the ABC control rule can be developed by the scientists between June and August, or can we work them parallel?

**BILL ARNOLD:** You can work them parallel, because there's going to be alternative approaches, or at least potential -- Some components of this ABC control rule is going to be composed of alternative approaches.

What alternative you choose is going to be guided by your goals and objectives, but you can go ahead and develop an ABC control rule with alternatives in it and then, as your goals and objectives become clearer, that's going to decide how you choose among those alternatives, but I would not wait on the goals and objectives to develop your ABC control rule, because there is many things that can be done to develop that ABC control rule now without knowing exactly what those goals and objectives are going to be. You're kind of building them up, and ultimately they will merge into a document that is influenced -- This one influences that one, but I would not wait. You don't need to wait.

MIGUEL ROLON: Mr. Chairman, I propose that the council instruct the staff to put together the team of scientists, a small team, as Dr. Clay Porch said, a small team of scientists to work on the alternatives for the control rules and then present their findings or the draft document by the June meeting.

At the same time, the staff will work on the goals and objectives, and, as I said before, we will prepare this draft that is going to be circulated among the council members and the committees and panels that we have. By June, you will have in front of you the two documents so you can discuss it.

When I say June, I intend to send the documents thirty days before June, because I want to give -- We need to have ample

time for discussion before the meeting, so you will be able to receive the documents ahead of time, and so that will conclude this part of the agenda. If you all agree, then this is the process that we will follow.

5 6

We will prepare the draft goals and objectives and we will have a committee of scientists looking at ABC control rule alternatives that will be presented at the June meeting.

8

7

10 CARLOS FARCHETTE: Okay, and so I guess we're good with that. 11 Iris.

12 13

14 15 IRIS LOWERY: I would just suggest that the council actually take action on that. If that's something that you would like to instruct staff to do, that you take action on that issue with a motion.

16 17

18 MIGUEL ROLON: Can you help us with two or three lines for the 19 motion?

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IRIS LOWERY: As Bill suggested, I think there should be two motions, one for the control rule and one for the goals and objectives. I guess the first motion could be to request that the SSC form a committee to draft ABC control rule alternatives. Then I guess the second motion would be to request that council staff create a draft goals and objectives document by the June council meeting for both of those. I think that both of those, it seems like the intent is to have those completed by the June council meeting.

293031

MIGUEL ROLON: Presented at the June council meeting, yes. Mr. Chairman, we need a "so I move" and a second for the first one.

323334

**CARLOS FARCHETTE:** Blanchard.

35

36 TONY BLANCHARD: Second.

37

38 MARCOS HANKE: I move.

39

40 CARLOS FARCHETTE: The first motion is to request the SSC form a
41 committee to draft ABC control rule alternatives. It's moved by
42 Hanke and seconded by Blanchard. All in favor say aye; any
43 nays; any abstentions. Hearing none, the motion carries with
44 one absent. The next motion is --

45

46 MARCOS HANKE: I would like to move the language on the screen, 47 to request the CFMC staff to create a draft goals and objectives 48 document.

1 2 **CARLOS FARCHETTE:** Do we have a second? 3 4 TONY BLANCHARD: Second. 5 6 CARLOS FARCHETTE: Okay. The motion is to request that the CFMC 7 staff crate a draft goals and objectives document. I think that 8 these two -- Didn't we want to have this by the June council 9 meeting? 10 11 MIGUEL ROLON: That's understood. 12 That's moved by Hanke and seconded by 13 CARLOS FARCHETTE: 14 All in favor say aye; any abstentions; any nays. Hearing none, the motion carries with one absent. 15 16 17 CARLOS FARCHETTE: Okay. That was all on the agenda except for Other Business. Do we have something on that? Then that's it. 18 That concludes our 155<sup>th</sup> council meeting. It's noon, March 17. 19 20 Meeting adjourned. Thank you very much. You all have a safe flight home. 21 22 23 (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned on March 17, 2016.) 24

25